SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR. *v.* MICHAEL C. STORRIE ET AL.

ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

No. 92–6846. Decided March 8, 1993

JUSTICE STEVENS, with whom JUSTICE BLACKMUN joins, dissenting.

In my opinion, the administration of special procedures for disposing of repetitive and frivolous petitions is less efficient than our past practice of simply denying such petitions.* I continue to adhere to my previously stated views on this issue, see *Martin* v. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. ___, ___ (1992) (STEVENS, J., dissenting); *Zatko* v. *California*, 502 U. S. ___, ___ (1991) (STEVENS, J., dissenting), and would deny certiorari rather than invoking Rule 39 in this case. Accordingly, I respectfully dissent.

---

*The next issue the Court will confront in developing its Rule 39.8 jurisprudence, for instance, is whether to apply orders like today's retroactively, to petitions pending on the date they are issued.